

# Windfall Legislation in the 117<sup>th</sup> Congress

- 1. CALL YOUR CONGRESSMAN/WOMAN IN WASHINGTON, DC THIS WEEK (JUNE 28 – JULY 2, 2021).**
- 2. STATE THAT “IF YOU WANT MY VOTE AS A POLISH AMERICAN VOTING CONSTITUENT, SIGN ON AS A CO-SPONSOR OF H.R. 82 THAT IS KNOWN AS THE ‘SOCIAL SECURITY FAIRNESS ACT’.”**
- 3. THANK THE PERSON WHO BRINGS YOUR MESSAGE TO THE ATTENTION OF YOUR CONGRESSMAN/WOMAN.**

# The Polish American Congress has Divisions Located in 19 states...

How are our Divisions doing with regard to supporting H. R. 82 (House Resolution 82) in the current 1<sup>st</sup> Session of the 117<sup>th</sup> Congress, by signing-up co-sponsors (i.e., hits) out of the total number of your Congressmen/women in your state (times at bat) in terms employed by America's great summer national pastime, *Baseball*?

The Resolution is titled, "Social Security Fairness Act." It proposes to eliminate the "windfall provision" that is unfair to many legal immigrants, that includes many Polish Americans.

How then, therefore, is *your* state's Congressional Delegation in Washington, DC doing in terms of its batting average?

- If your state is still batting under .500, please do something about it!
- Unless your state is batting 1.000, there is room for improvement elsewhere as well, even if your state is batting at .500 and above!
- As for Texas, with as yet no membership in the PAC and thus no formal voting representation in the Council of National Directors, its "times at bat" is 36, and "hits" is 7, that equate with a .194 "batting average."
- States that have improved since my Action Alert of June 10 are so noted in red print.
- See next page for the status report on S. 1302 which is the Senate's equivalent of the same legislation.

<u>State</u>	<u>Times at bat</u>	<u>Hits</u>	<u>Batting Average (06-27-21)</u>
AZ	9	2	.222 underperforming
CA	53	39	.736
CT	5	4	.800
DE	1	1	1.000
FL	27	7	.259 underperforming
IL	18	10	.556
IN	9	1	.111 underperforming
MA	9	6	.667
MD	8	6	.750
MI	14	1	.071 underperforming
MO	8	2	.250 underperforming
NH	2	2	1.000
NJ	12	8	.667
NY	27	15	.556
OH	16	6	.375 underperforming
PA	18	7	.389 underperforming
RI	2	2	1.000
VA	11	4	.364 underperforming
WI	8	1	.125 underperforming

# What about S. 1302 equivalent legislation in the U. S. Senate?

As we know, there are two U. S. Senators from each of our nation's 50 states. As such, only 31 (25 Democrat, 4 Republican, and 2 Independent) have signed on to support **S. 1302** legislation. Of the 31, **only 19** represent states in which we have Divisions of the Polish American Congress. **So far, we have attracted 19 Senators out of the potential 38 for our bloc.** It is clear that, except for those of our states that have attracted *both* of their U. S. Senators to sign on as Co-Sponsors for S. 1302, our other state Divisions have work to do. (See next page.)

Arizona	1	Co-Sponsor
California	2	Co-Sponsors
Connecticut	2	Co-Sponsors
<b>Delaware</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Florida</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Illinois</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Indiana</b>	<b>0</b>	
Maryland	1	Co-Sponsor
Massachusetts	2	Co-Sponsors
Michigan	2	Co-Sponsors
<b>Missouri</b>	<b>0</b>	
New Hampshire	2	Co-Sponsors
New Jersey	2	Co-Sponsors
<b>New York</b>	<b>0</b>	
Ohio	1	Co-Sponsor
Pennsylvania	1	Co-Sponsor
Rhode Island	2	Co-Sponsors
<b>Virginia</b>	<b>0</b>	
Wisconsin	1	Co-Sponsor

**WHEN CALLING YOUR UNITED STATES SENATOR, YOUR MESSAGE, AFTER IDENTIFYING YOURSELF, SHOULD BE:**

***“IF YOU WANT MY VOTE AS A POLISH AMERICAN VOTING CONSTITUENT, SIGN ON AS A CO-SPONSOR OF S. 1302 THAT IS KNOWN AS THE ‘SOCIAL SECURITY FAIRNESS ACT’.”***